EARL YEAHQUO, EDNA BOINTY, : Order Denying Reconsideration

RANDLETT HALL, BRENDA MYERS, and MARY ZUMWALT, Appellants :

•

v. : Docket No. IBIA 01-6-A

:

SOUTHERN PLAINS REGIONAL DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS, :

Appellee : March 7, 2001

This appeal concerns the validity of certain resolutions enacted by the Kiowa Business Committee. It was dismissed on January 30, 2001, for failure to show standing and failure to show exhaustion of tribal remedies. 36 IBIA 11. Appellants seek reconsideration of that dismissal.

The Board's regulation governing reconsideration provides that "[r]econsideration of a Board decision will be granted only in extraordinary circumstances." 43 C.F.R. 4.315(a).

For the most part, Appellants' petition for reconsideration repeats the arguments they made during the earlier proceedings in this appeal. The Board has consistently held that extraordinary circumstances are not present when the party seeking reconsideration merely repeats the same arguments that were made and considered earlier. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Estate of Little Snake (John Smith)</u>, 24 IBIA 153 (1993).

In support of one of their arguments—that Appellant Brenda Myers has standing here—Appellants attempt to offer new evidence. This is a document titled "Recall Statement of Charges and Violations" concerning Myers. The statement is signed by only one person and is undated. Its relevance to the issue of Myers' standing in this case is tenuous at best. In any event, the Board ordinarily declines to consider arguments raised or evidence presented for the first time in a petition for reconsideration. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Hamilton v. Acting Sacramento Area Director</u>, 29 IBIA 188 (1996).

While it is plain that Appellants disagree with the Board's decision, disagreement with a Board decision does not constitute extraordinary circumstances under 43 C.F.R. § 4.315. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Needles Lodge v. Acting Phoenix Area Director</u>, 31 IBIA 123 (1997). Appellants have not shown that extraordinary circumstances are present here.

• 1	ore, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Indian Appeals by the ne Interior, 43 C.F.R. § 4.1, this petition for reconsideration is denied.	
	Anita Vogt Administrative Judge	
	Kathryn A. Lynn Chief Administrative Judge	